

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,567	06/24/2003	John C. Purvis		1680
7590 09/09/2004			EXAMINER	
John C.: Purvis			SINGH, SUNIL	
13526 Belhaven Dr. Houston, TX 77069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/602,567	PURVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sunil Singh	3673				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	• •	V 10 057 TO EVOIDE - 140 V					
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex <i>parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٠,,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	getailed embe determine a not	a. a.a columba copido not rod					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and T	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				
PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040903				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,567

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edling et al. (US 4080796) in view of Harper (US 3673974).

Edling et al. discloses an off-shore structure comprising a hull (22), legs (26), mat(24), said mat having an opening with the hull nested therein (see Figs. 1,2, abstract and col.

3). Edling discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Edling et al. lacks a mat that allows the lowering of the mat to the seabed without assistance of ballasting the mat. Harper teaches to lower a mat to the seabed without assistance of ballasting the mat (see col. 6 lines 1-2). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Edling et al. by substituting the mat lowering means as taught by Harper for the mat lower means disclosed by Edling et al. since these are well known alternate mat lowering means.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/602,567

Art Unit: 3673

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3673

SS 58 9/3/04